1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COMES NOW, Plaintiffs, DAVID P. ANDERSON and KELLI FOY-		
ANDERSON (collectively "Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys of record, Bohen		
Rosenthal & Dusenbury, and Defendants, AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE		
SERVICING, INC. ("AHMSI"), MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION		
SYSTEMS, INC. ("MERS"), and DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST CO		
("DBNTC") (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their attorneys of record		
Wright, Finlay & Zak, LLP, who stipulate as follows:		
A providence of the coopy of the complete		

- WHEREAS, on November 3, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a complaint ("Complaint") in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 5:08-CV-05004-JW, styled: Anderson v. American Home Mortgage Acceptance, Inc., et al. ("Action"), naming AHMSI, MERS and DBNTC, among others, as Defendants;
- WHEREAS, Defendant AHMSI's response to the Complaint must be 2. served on or before December 24, 2008;1
- WHEREAS, Defendant MERS' response to the Complaint must be served on or before December 25, 2008;2
- WHEREAS, Defendant DBNTC's response to the Complaint must be served on or before January 1, 2009;3
- WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants each desire to extend Defendants' 5. time to respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint until January 5, 2009;
- 6. WHEREAS, this stipulation will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by an Order of the Court,
- WHEREAS, this stipulation is brought in accordance with Civil L.R. 6-23 7. 24 1(a) and does not require an Order of the Court;

25 /// 26 ///

27

28

<sup>1</sup> Fed. Rules Civ. Proc., Rule 12(a)(1)(A)(i).

 $^{2}$  Id.

3 Id.

12/23/2008 Case 5:08494-7052004-JW Document 4F6 GHFile TIM-24/29/08 Page 3 of 5

NOW THEREPORE, it is stipulated by and between Plaintiffs and Defendants as 1 follows: 2 American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc.'s; Mortgage Electronic 3 1. 4 Registration Systems, Inc.'s; and Deutsche Bank National Trust Co.'s time to respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint is extended and enlarged to January 5, 2009. 5 6 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 8 9 BOHEN, ROSENTHAL & DUSENBURY 10 Dated: December 2 1/2008 11 By: Douglas Dusenbury, Esq. 12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, 13 DAVID P. ANDERSON and KELLI FOY-ANDERSON 14 15 16 17 18 Dated: December 2 2008 T. Robert Finlay, Esq. 19 Nicholas G. Hood, Esq. 20 Attorneys for Defendants, AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE 21 SERVICING, INC.; MORTGAGE 22 ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.; and DEUTSCHE BANK 23 NATIONAL TRUST CO. 24 25 26 27 28

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I, Cielo Tucay, declare as follows: 3 I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action. My business address is 4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 280, Newport Beach, California 92660. I am readily familiar with the practices of Wright, Finlay & 5 Zak, LLP, for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service the same 6 day in the ordinary course of business. 7 On December 24, 2008, I served the within STIPULATION ENLARGING DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT on all interested 8 parties in this action as follows: by placing [] the original [X] a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) 10 addressed as follows: 11 SEE SERVICE LIST 12 [X]BY MAIL SERVICE) I placed such envelope(s) for collection to be mailed on this date 13 following ordinary business practices, via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested. 14 (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused to be delivered such envelope by hand delivered [ ] to the office of the addressee. 15 By ELECTRONIC MAIL: On December 5, 2008, from Newport Beach, California, I caused each such document to be transmitted electronically to the parties at the e-mail 16 address indicated above. To the best my knowledge, the transmission was reported as 17 complete, and no error was reported that the electronic transmission was not completed. (BY FACSIMILE) The facsimile machine I used, with telephone no. (949) 477-9200, 18 complied with California Rules of Court, Rule 2003, and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 2006(d), I caused the machine to 19 print a transmission record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to the original 20 Proof of Service. [ ] (BY FEDERAL EXPRESS - NEXT DAY DELIVERY) I placed true and correct copies 21 of thereof enclosed in a package designated by Federal Express with the delivery fees provided for. 22 (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the [X] 23 foregoing is true and correct. 24 Executed on December 24, 2008, at Newport Beach, California. 25 26 27 28

1	SERVICE LIST	
2	Douglas Kent Dusenbury	
3	Bohnen Rosenthal & Dusenbury	
4	787 Munras Avenue Suite 200	
	PO Box 1111	
5	Monterey, CA 93942-1111	
6	831-649-5551; 831-649-0272 (fax) ddusenbury@mtrylegal.com	
7	Attorney for Plaintiffs, David P. And	erson and Kelli Foy-Anderson
8	Michael Damon Hayes	
9	Varga Berger Ledsky Hayes & Casey	
10	224 S. Michigan Ave. #350 Chicago, IL 60604	
	312-341-9400; 312-341-2900 (fax)	
11	mhayes@vblhc.com	
12	Defendants, American Home Mortga	ge Acceptance Inc
13		
14	·	
15		
16		
		<u>.</u>
17		•
18		
19		
20		
21		•
22	,	
1		
23		
24		
25		
26	•	
27		
28		
1		-2-